# PANTHEISM AND ECO-THEOLOGICAL RESPONSIBILITY: REIMAGINING THE DIVINE-NATURE RELATIONSHIP IN THE CONTEXT OF THE 21ST-CENTURY ECOLOGICAL CRISIS

**Dr. John Clerk Koko**
Lecturer: Department of Religious and Cultural Studies
Faculty of Humanities
Rivers State University
08037101793
Email: john.koko@ust.edu.ng

**Gabriel Aduma Odey**
Lecturer, Department of Religious and Cultural Studies
University of Calabar, Calabar
08067955769
adumaodey1980@gmail.com

## Abstract
The accelerating ecological crisis of the twenty-first century has intensified theological and philosophical reflections on humanity's relationship with the natural world. This study examines the doctrine of pantheism as a conceptual resource for advancing eco-theological responsibility in the face of contemporary environmental degradation. Employing a qualitative and interdisciplinary approach that engages philosophical theology, environmental ethics, and eco-theological scholarship, the paper critically explores how pantheistic thought understood as the identification of the divine with the cosmos reconfigures the moral and spiritual status of nature. The study argues that the pantheistic sacralization of the universe offers a compelling ethical framework that encourages reverence for nature and strengthens moral commitment to ecological preservation. By reframing the natural world as intrinsically divine rather than merely instrumental, pantheism provides a theological basis for deeper ecological responsibility. The paper concludes that integrating pantheistic insights into eco-theological discourse can enrich contemporary environmental ethics and inspire transformative attitudes toward sustainable ecological stewardship.

**Keywords:** Pantheism, Eco-theology, Ecological crisis, Environmental ethics, and Sacrednature.

## Introduction
The twenty-first century is witnessing an unprecedented ecological crisis characterized by climate change, biodiversity loss, deforestation, and widespread environmental degradation. These challenges have stimulated vigorous interdisciplinary debates concerning the ethical and theological foundations of humanity's relationship with the natural world. Within theological scholarship, eco-theology has emerged as an important field that seeks to reinterpret religious doctrines in ways that promote ecological responsibility and sustainable interaction with the Earth (Appleby, 2000; Swinburne, 1994). Scholars have argued that some interpretations of classical religious traditions, particularly those emphasizing human dominion over nature have

historically contributed to anthropocentric attitudes that legitimize environmental exploitation (Juergensmeyer, 2003; Koko, 2018). As a result, contemporary theological discourse increasingly seeks alternative conceptual frameworks capable of fostering a deeper reverence for the natural environment. One such framework is the doctrine of pantheism, which broadly conceives the universe and the divine as inseparable. In pantheistic thought, the cosmos is not merely an external creation but is understood as permeated by or identical with the divine reality. Philosophical discussions on the nature of God and divine immanence have highlighted the significance of such perspectives in reshaping metaphysical understandings of the divine-world relationship (Plantinga, 1974; Watt, 1985). By sacralizing the natural world, pantheism challenges purely instrumental conceptions of nature and encourages a vision of the cosmos as intrinsically valuable.

Against this backdrop, this study examines the relationship between pantheism and eco-theological responsibility in the context of the contemporary ecological crisis. The research adopts a qualitative and analytical methodology, drawing on philosophical and theological literature to critically evaluate the ecological implications of pantheistic thought. Through conceptual and textual analysis of key philosophical and theological works, the study explores how pantheism may provide a metaphysical and ethical foundation for renewed ecological consciousness. This is crucial because a pantheistic understanding of a divinely infused universe can contribute meaningfully to contemporary eco-theological discourse by fostering a moral and spiritual commitment to environmental stewardship in an age of global ecological vulnerability.

## Conceptual Clarifications on Pantheism, Eco-Theology, and Ecological Responsibility

A meaningful engagement with the relationship between pantheism and eco-theological responsibility requires careful clarification of the key concepts that frame this study. The notions of pantheism, eco-theology, and ecological responsibility constitute the conceptual foundation upon which the present analysis is constructed. Clarifying these concepts will not only establish the philosophical orientation of the research but will also illuminate how theological ideas can contribute to contemporary ecological discourse.

### *Pantheism*

Pantheism refers to the philosophical and theological view that God and the universe are inseparably related, such that the divine is present within the totality of existence. The term derives from the Greek words *pan* (all) and *theos* (God), indicating the idea that all reality participates in or expresses the divine. In pantheistic thought, the cosmos is not merely a product of divine creation but is intimately permeated by the divine presence. Consequently, the natural world acquires a sacred status because it reflects or embodies divine reality (Watt, 1985).

Philosophical reflections on the nature of God often distinguish pantheism from classical theism, which traditionally maintains a clear ontological separation between the Creator and creation. While classical theism emphasizes *divine transcendence*, pantheism stresses *divine immanence*, highlighting the presence of God within the structures and processes of the natural world (Swinburne, 1994). This understanding fosters a worldview in which the cosmos is perceived as an integrated and sacred whole rather than as a merely instrumental resource for human exploitation. Within contemporary discussions in philosophy

of religion and environmental ethics, pantheistic ideas are increasingly revisited as possible resources for cultivating a deeper reverence for nature and promoting ecological consciousness.

***Eco-Theology***

Eco-theology is an interdisciplinary field of theological reflection that examines the relationship between religious belief, the natural environment, and ecological ethics. Emerging in response to growing environmental concerns, eco-theology seeks to reinterpret theological doctrines in ways that encourage responsible engagement with the Earth. The field gained momentum particularly after scholars began to examine how certain religious interpretations may have contributed to ecological degradation by promoting an anthropocentric worldview that places humanity above the rest of creation (White, 1967).

In response to such critiques, eco-theologians have attempted to recover theological resources that affirm the intrinsic value of nature and the interconnectedness of all life. Thinkers such as Moltmann (1993) and McFague (2008) argue that the Earth should be understood not merely as an object of human use but as a community of life sustained by divine presence. Eco-theology therefore seeks to reconstruct theological perspectives that emphasize relationality, interdependence, and the sacredness of the natural world. In doing so, it provides a moral and spiritual framework for addressing contemporary environmental challenges.

***Ecological Responsibility***

Ecological responsibility refers to the ethical obligation of individuals and communities to protect, sustain, and restore the natural environment. Within philosophical and theological discussions, this concept is grounded in the recognition that human beings are deeply embedded within ecological systems and are therefore morally accountable for the impact of their actions on the Earth. Environmental philosophers and theologians emphasize that the ecological crisis of the modern era is not merely a scientific or technological problem but also a moral one requiring a transformation of human attitudes toward nature (Appleby, 2000).

From a theological perspective, ecological responsibility entails recognizing the intrinsic value of the natural world and acknowledging humanity's role as a moral agent within the broader community of life. When nature is understood as sacred or divinely infused as suggested within pantheistic perspectives, the moral imperative to protect the environment becomes even more compelling. Environmental degradation in such a framework is not only a violation of ecological balance but also a disregard for the sacred dimension of the cosmos. Thus, ecological responsibility emerges as both an ethical duty and a spiritual commitment to preserving the integrity and flourishing of the Earth.

**Literature Review on Eco-theology and Ecological Responsibility**

Scholarly engagement with the relationship between religion and ecological responsibility has grown significantly over the past several decades, particularly in response to the escalating environmental crisis of the modern era. The literature in this field spans theological, philosophical, and ethical discussions that interrogate how religious worldviews shape human attitudes toward nature. Among the most influential contributors to this discourse are Lynn White Jr., Jürgen Moltmann, Sallie McFague, Leonardo Boff, and Arne Naess, whose works have collectively shaped contemporary debates in eco-theology and environmental ethics.

The modern conversation on religion and ecology was significantly stimulated by the seminal essay of Lynn White Jr. (1967), who argued that the roots of the ecological crisis can partly be traced to certain interpretations of the Judeo-Christian tradition. White contended that the biblical notion of human dominion over nature contributed to an anthropocentric worldview that legitimized the exploitation of the natural environment. According to him, the desacralization of nature within Western Christianity removed spiritual restraints on environmental exploitation and encouraged technological domination of the Earth. Although White's thesis has been widely debated and criticized for oversimplification, it played a pivotal role in prompting theologians and scholars to re-examine religious doctrines and their ecological implications.

In response to these criticisms, several theologians have attempted to develop alternative theological frameworks that emphasize the interconnectedness of God, humanity, and the natural world. Moltmann (1993), for instance, advances an ecological doctrine of creation that emphasizes the immanence of God within the cosmos. In his theological vision, creation is not merely a passive object but a dynamic community sustained by the life-giving presence of God. Moltmann argues that a proper understanding of divine indwelling within creation fosters a sense of reverence for the Earth and encourages a responsible ecological ethic. His work represents a significant effort to reframe Christian theology in a way that affirms the intrinsic value of the natural world and challenges exploitative attitudes toward the environment.

Similarly, McFague (2008) proposes a metaphorical understanding of the world as the "body of God." According to McFague, conceiving the Earth as the embodiment of divine presence provides a powerful theological basis for environmental care. Her approach emphasizes relationality and interdependence, arguing that human beings are not separate from nature but are integrally connected to the broader ecological community. By employing imaginative theological metaphors, McFague seeks to reshape ethical consciousness and inspire ecological responsibility in an age of global environmental crisis.

From the perspective of liberation theology, Boff (1997) also makes a significant contribution to eco-theological discourse by linking ecological degradation with broader issues of social and economic injustice. Boff argues that the exploitation of the Earth often parallels the oppression of marginalized communities, particularly in developing regions. His concept of "ecological liberation" calls for a holistic transformation that addresses both environmental destruction and social inequality. By integrating ecological concerns with the ethical commitments of liberation theology, Boff highlights the moral urgency of protecting the Earth as a shared home for all forms of life.

In the field of environmental philosophy, the work of Naess (1973) is particularly influential through his development of the theory of deep ecology. Naess critiques shallow environmentalism, which focuses primarily on resource management for human benefit, and instead advocates a deeper ecological perspective that recognizes the intrinsic value of all living beings. Deep ecology emphasizes the interconnectedness of all life forms and calls for a fundamental shift in human consciousness toward ecological harmony. Although Naess's work is primarily philosophical rather than explicitly theological, its emphasis on the inherent worth of nature resonates strongly with eco-theological efforts to cultivate reverence for the natural world.

More recent eco-theological scholarship has expanded these discussions by exploring the moral, spiritual, and cosmological dimensions of environmental responsibility. Johnson (2018), for example, emphasizes that Christian theology must recognize the suffering of the Earth within the broader narrative of divine compassion and redemption. Her work highlights the need to integrate ecological concerns into theological reflection on creation and salvation. Similarly, Deane-Drummond (2019) argues that eco-theology requires interdisciplinary engagement with the natural sciences, ethics, and theology in order to address the complexity of contemporary environmental challenges.

Further contributions have emphasized the importance of developing a global ecological ethic rooted in religious traditions. For instance, Tucker and Grim (2019) argue that religious worldviews possess significant potential for cultivating environmental responsibility by promoting moral values such as stewardship, reverence for life, and respect for the interconnectedness of existence. Berry (2019) similarly advocates a cosmological vision in which humanity recognizes its role within the broader "Earth community," emphasizing that ecological sustainability requires a profound transformation in human consciousness and cultural values.

In addition, Ernst Conradie (2020) highlights the importance of understanding ecological responsibility within the broader theological framework of God's economy of creation. According to Conradie, ecological theology must emphasize the interconnected relationship between creation, redemption, and the future flourishing of the Earth. Northcott (2018) also explores the political and ethical dimensions of environmental crises, arguing that climate change raises profound moral questions regarding justice, responsibility, and global solidarity.

Recent biblical and hermeneutical approaches have also enriched eco-theological discourse. Habel and Trudinger (2021) for example emphasize ecological hermeneutics, which seeks to reinterpret biblical texts in ways that highlight the intrinsic value of the Earth and the moral responsibility of humanity toward the natural world. Likewise, Jenkins (2020) examines the relationship between environmental ethics and Christian theology, arguing that ecological responsibility must be grounded in both ethical reflection and spiritual commitment.

Despite the significant contributions of these scholars, much of the existing literature focuses primarily on reinterpreting traditional theistic frameworks in order to promote ecological responsibility. While such approaches have been fruitful, comparatively less attention has been devoted to exploring how alternative metaphysical perspectives, such as pantheism, might contribute to eco-theological discourse. Pantheistic thought, with its emphasis on the unity of the divine and the cosmos, offers a distinctive conceptual framework for understanding the sacredness of nature and the moral implications of ecological destruction.

This study addresses this gap by examining the relationship between pantheism and eco-theological responsibility within the context of the twenty-first-century ecological crisis. By engaging pantheistic perspectives alongside contemporary eco-theological discussions, the research aims to expand existing debates and demonstrate how the pantheistic sacralization of the natural world can provide a compelling philosophical and theological basis for ecological ethics. In doing so, the study contributes to ongoing scholarly efforts to develop more comprehensive and transformative approaches to environmental responsibility.

## Theoretical Framework on Pantheism and Eco-Theological Responsibility

This study is theoretically anchored on three complementary perspectives that illuminate the relationship between pantheism and eco-theological responsibility: *pantheistic metaphysics* and the concept of *divine immanence*, *sacred ecology*, and the philosophical framework of *deep ecology*. These perspectives collectively provide a conceptual lens through which the divine-nature relationship can be reinterpreted in response to the contemporary ecological crisis.

The first theoretical pillar of this study is the pantheistic understanding of *divine immanence*, which affirms that the divine reality is present within the totality of the cosmos. Unlike classical theism, which maintains a strict ontological distinction between God and creation, pantheism emphasizes the unity or inseparability of God and the natural world. Within this framework, nature is not merely a created object external to the divine but is permeated by divine presence. Such a perspective carries important ethical implications because it attributes sacred significance to the natural world. When the cosmos is understood as a manifestation of divine reality, environmental destruction becomes not only an ecological concern but also a violation of the sacred order of existence (Swinburne, 1994; Koko, 2018; Koko & Akinola, 2024). Consequently, pantheism provides a metaphysical foundation for ecological responsibility by encouraging reverence toward nature.

Closely related to this perspective is the concept of *sacred ecology*, which emphasizes the spiritual significance and intrinsic value of ecological systems. Sacred ecology challenges purely instrumental views of nature by proposing that the Earth should be regarded as a sacred community of life sustained by divine presence. Eco-theologians such as Moltmann (1993) and McFague (2008) argue that theological reflection must recognize the interconnectedness of all creation and affirm the moral obligation to protect the Earth. McFague's metaphor of the world as the "*body of God*," for example, underscores the intimate relationship between the divine and the natural world, thereby encouraging a deep sense of ecological reverence.

However, the notion of sacred ecology has not been without criticism. Some scholars argue that sacralizing nature may blur the distinction between theological reflection and romanticized conceptions of nature. Katz (2000) contends that such approaches risk projecting spiritual meanings onto ecological systems without adequately addressing the complex social, political, and economic factors that drive environmental degradation. Similarly, critics caution that emphasizing the sacredness of nature may overlook the ambivalent and sometimes destructive dimensions of natural processes.

The third theoretical perspective informing this study is Arne Naess's theory of *deep ecology*, which advocates a fundamental shift from *anthropocentric* to *eco-centric ethics*. Deep ecology asserts that all living beings possess intrinsic value and that human beings are only one component within the broader ecological community (Naess, 1973; Koko, 2018; Koko & Akinola, 2024). This philosophical framework calls for a transformation in human consciousness, encouraging individuals to recognize the interconnectedness of all forms of life and to adopt lifestyles that support ecological balance. Contemporary scholars have continued to develop this perspective by emphasizing the ethical implications of ecological interdependence. Berry (2019), for instance, proposes a cosmological vision in which humanity recognizes its participation within the broader Earth community. Likewise, Tucker and Grim (2019) argue that religious traditions can contribute to the development of a planetary ethic that fosters ecological responsibility and reverence for life.

Despite its influence, deep ecology has also faced significant criticism. Scholars such as Bookchin (1990) argue that deep ecology sometimes neglects the social and political structures that contribute to environmental problems. Bookchin contends that environmental degradation cannot be adequately addressed without confronting issues such as economic inequality and social injustice. Likewise, Guha (1989) criticizes deep ecology for reflecting Western philosophical concerns while overlooking the environmental realities faced by communities in the Global South whose livelihoods depend directly on natural resources.

In spite of these criticisms, the integration of pantheistic metaphysics with insights from sacred ecology and deep ecology provides a compelling theoretical framework for this study. Pantheism, in particular, strengthens these perspectives by sacralizing the natural world through the affirmation that the divine is immanent within the cosmos. Within this framework, ecological responsibility emerges not merely as a pragmatic environmental strategy but as a moral and spiritual obligation grounded in the sacred unity of God and nature.

**The Ecological Crisis in the Twenty-First Century**

The twenty-first century has been widely described as an era of unprecedented ecological crisis (Koko, 2018; Koko & Akinola, 2024). Rapid industrialization, technological expansion, population growth, and unsustainable patterns of consumption have collectively contributed to severe environmental degradation across the globe. Contemporary ecological challenges include climate change, biodiversity loss, deforestation, desertification, ocean pollution, and the depletion of natural resources, all of which threaten the stability of the Earth's ecological systems and the future of human civilization. These environmental problems have increasingly drawn the attention of scholars, policymakers, and religious thinkers who recognize that the ecological crisis is not merely a scientific or technological issue but also a profound ethical and philosophical problem (White, 1967; Naess, 1973).

One of the most visible dimensions of the contemporary ecological crisis is climate change, largely driven by the emission of greenhouse gases resulting from industrial activities and fossil fuel consumption. Climate change has intensified extreme weather events, rising sea levels, and disruptions to ecological systems that sustain human and nonhuman life. Environmental scholars argue that such developments illustrate the consequences of a dominant anthropocentric worldview that prioritizes economic growth and technological advancement over ecological sustainability (McFague, 2008; Koko, 2018; Koko & Akinola, 2024). In this context, the ecological crisis exposes the limitations of modern models of development that treat nature primarily as a resource for human exploitation.

Closely related to climate change is the problem of biodiversity loss, which many scientists describe as one of the most alarming environmental challenges of the present century. The destruction of natural habitats through deforestation, urban expansion, and industrial agriculture has led to the rapid extinction of numerous plant and animal species. From a philosophical and theological perspective, biodiversity loss raises important questions concerning the intrinsic value of nonhuman life and the ethical responsibilities of humanity toward the broader community of living beings. Naess (1973) and Koko (2018) for instance, argue that environmental sustainability requires a recognition of the inherent worth of all forms of life rather than viewing nature solely in terms of its utility for human purposes.

Another critical aspect of the ecological crisis is the global exploitation of natural resources, particularly in developing regions where economic pressures often encourage

unsustainable environmental practices. Scholars such as Boff (1997) and Koko & Akinola (2024) have highlighted the close relationship between ecological destruction and social injustice, emphasizing that environmental degradation frequently affects the most vulnerable populations. According to Boff (1997), the exploitation of the Earth often parallels the marginalization of the poor, revealing a structural pattern in which both nature and marginalized communities are subjected to systems of domination and extraction.

The ecological crisis has also prompted significant reflection within theological and philosophical circles regarding the cultural and religious assumptions that shape human attitudes toward nature. White Jr.'s influential essay on the historical roots of the ecological crisis argues that certain interpretations of Western religious traditions contributed to the desacralization of nature by portraying the natural world as subordinate to human interests (White, 1967). Although White's thesis has generated considerable debate, it has encouraged theologians to reconsider the ways in which religious doctrines influence environmental ethics.

In light of this, Conradie (2020) contends that ecological responsibility must be understood within the broader theological framework of God's relationship with the Earth, where creation is viewed as an interconnected and divinely sustained reality. Such perspectives highlight the importance of integrating ecological concerns into broader theological and ethical reflections on human existence. Others like Santmire (2020) observe that ecological sustainability ultimately requires a transformation of cultural and spiritual attitudes toward nature. Within this broader context, exploring alternative metaphysical perspectives becomes particularly significant. The doctrine of pantheism, with its emphasis on the unity of the divine and the cosmos, offers a framework for reinterpreting the relationship between humanity, nature, and the sacred.

**Pantheism and the Reconfiguration of the Divine-Nature Relationship**

The ecological crisis of the contemporary age has prompted renewed philosophical and theological reflection on the nature of the relationship between God, humanity, and the natural world. Within traditional theistic frameworks, the relationship between the divine and nature has often been articulated through a dualistic paradigm in which God is understood as transcendent and distinct from creation. While such perspectives affirm the sovereignty of God, critics argue that they have sometimes contributed to an anthropocentric worldview in which nature is perceived primarily as an object of human use (White, 1967). In response to these concerns, scholars have increasingly explored alternative metaphysical frameworks capable of fostering a more integrated understanding of the divine-nature relationship. Among these alternatives, the doctrine of pantheism offers a particularly significant perspective.

Pantheism fundamentally challenges the strict separation between God and the cosmos by proposing that the divine reality is intimately present within the natural world. In pantheistic thought, the universe is not merely a creation external to God but is understood as a manifestation or expression of divine being. This perspective emphasizes the immanence of the divine, suggesting that the sacred permeates all aspects of existence. Philosophers of religion have noted that such a view encourages a holistic understanding of the cosmos in which the boundaries between the sacred and the natural are significantly reconfigured (Swinburne, 1994; Watt, 1985; Koko, 2018; Koko & Akinola, 2024).

From this standpoint, the natural world acquires profound theological significance. If the divine is present within the structures and processes of the cosmos, then nature cannot be regarded merely as a passive object of human manipulation. Instead, it becomes a sacred

reality deserving of reverence and moral consideration. This perspective resonates with contemporary eco-theological discussions that emphasize the intrinsic value of the Earth and the interconnectedness of all forms of life. For example, Moltmann (1993) argues that recognizing the divine presence within creation encourages a relational understanding of the world in which human beings participate as members of a broader community of life.

Pantheism also offers an important critique of the anthropocentric attitudes that have often characterized modern relationships with nature. By affirming the unity of all existence within the divine reality, pantheism challenges the assumption that humanity occupies a privileged position above the rest of the natural world. Instead, human beings are understood as integral components of a larger cosmic order. This perspective aligns with ecological philosophies such as deep ecology, which emphasize the interconnectedness and intrinsic value of all living beings (Naess, 1973). Within such frameworks, ecological responsibility emerges from the recognition that the well-being of humanity is inseparable from the health of the natural environment (Koko, 2018; Koko & Akinola, 2024).

Moreover, the pantheistic reconfiguration of the divine-nature relationship provides a powerful metaphysical basis for sacralizing the Earth. When nature is understood as permeated by divine presence, environmental degradation assumes not only ecological and ethical dimensions but also spiritual significance. The destruction of ecosystems, the extinction of species, and the pollution of natural environments may therefore be interpreted as violations of a sacred cosmic order. This perspective has the potential to cultivate a deeper sense of reverence and responsibility toward the natural world, encouraging individuals and communities to adopt more sustainable patterns of living.

**Pantheism and Eco-Theological Responsibility**

As already pointed out, one of the central ideas to pantheistic thought is the conviction that the divine is not wholly separate from the natural world but is present within the totality of existence. This understanding transforms the way nature is perceived and valued. Rather than being regarded merely as a resource for human exploitation, the natural world is understood as imbued with sacred significance. Philosophical discussions of pantheism emphasize that such a worldview encourages reverence for nature because the cosmos itself becomes a manifestation of divine reality (Watt, 1985). Consequently, ecological responsibility emerges as an ethical response to the recognition of the sacredness of the natural environment.

Within eco-theological scholarship, the idea that the Earth possesses intrinsic value has been widely emphasized. Moltmann (1993) argues that the presence of God within creation calls humanity to a relationship of respect and care toward the Earth. For Moltmann, ecological responsibility arises from the recognition that human beings participate in a wider community of creation sustained by divine presence. Similarly, McFague (2008) proposes that conceiving the world as the “body of God” can inspire a transformative ecological ethic. By portraying the Earth as intimately connected with divine reality, McFague’s theological metaphor challenges anthropocentric assumptions and encourages a more relational understanding of humanity’s place within the ecological community.

Pantheistic perspectives resonate strongly with these eco-theological insights by emphasizing the sacralization of nature. If the natural world is understood as permeated by the divine, then ecological destruction cannot be viewed merely as a technical or economic problem. Instead, it becomes a moral violation that undermines the sacred integrity of the

cosmos. This perspective aligns with the ecological philosophy of Naess (1973), whose theory of deep ecology stresses the intrinsic value and interconnectedness of all living beings. Naess argues that ecological sustainability requires a profound shift in human consciousness, one that recognizes the unity of life and the moral significance of protecting the natural environment.

Furthermore, pantheism offers an important critique of the anthropocentric attitudes that have contributed to environmental degradation. Anthropocentrism places human interests at the center of moral consideration, often leading to the instrumentalization of nature. By contrast, pantheistic thought challenges such hierarchies by affirming the unity and interdependence of all existence. Within this framework, human beings are not masters of nature but participants within a sacred cosmic order. This perspective encourages a more humble and responsible approach to environmental stewardship, one that acknowledges the interconnectedness of all forms of life.

Nevertheless, the application of pantheism within eco-theological discourse has also generated critical debate. Some scholars argue that pantheism risks dissolving the distinction between God and the natural world, potentially leading to theological ambiguity or the neglect of divine transcendence (Plantinga, 1974). Others caution that pantheistic interpretations may oversimplify complex environmental issues by emphasizing metaphysical unity without sufficiently addressing the political and economic structures that contribute to ecological degradation (Bookchin, 1990). These criticisms highlight the need for a balanced approach that integrates pantheistic insights with broader ethical and social considerations.

Notwithstanding these challenges, pantheism remains a valuable conceptual resource for contemporary eco-theological reflection. Within the context of the twenty-first-century ecological crisis, such a perspective can contribute meaningfully to the development of a theological ethic that recognizes the protection of the natural world as both a moral duty and a spiritual commitment.

**Possible Scholarly Arguments against Pantheism**

While pantheism offers a compelling framework for reimagining the relationship between the divine and the natural world, its application within eco-theological discourse requires careful critical evaluation.

One of the principal criticisms directed at pantheism concerns its metaphysical implications for the concept of God. Classical theistic traditions have historically emphasized the transcendence of the divine, maintaining a clear ontological distinction between God and the created order. Critics argue that pantheism, by identifying God with the universe, risks dissolving this distinction and thereby undermining the traditional understanding of divine transcendence. Plantinga (1974), for instance, contends that equating God with the totality of the cosmos may lead to philosophical difficulties in explaining divine agency, intentionality, and moral authority. From this perspective, pantheism may blur the boundary between the sacred and the natural, raising questions about whether the concept of God retains meaningful theological significance.

A related concern involves the problem of *natural evil*. If the natural world is understood as a direct manifestation of the divine, critics ask how destructive natural phenomena such as earthquakes, diseases, and ecological catastrophes should be interpreted. Within pantheistic frameworks, the presence of suffering and disorder in nature may create tension between the sacred status of the cosmos and the realities of environmental violence and

suffering. Philosophers of religion have long debated whether pantheism adequately accounts for the presence of such natural evils without compromising the moral coherence traditionally attributed to the divine (Swinburne, 1994).

Beyond these metaphysical concerns, some scholars argue that pantheistic approaches to environmental ethics may risk overly *romanticizing nature*. Environmental philosophers caution that portraying the natural world as wholly sacred or harmonious may overlook the complexity and ambivalence of ecological systems. Katz (2000) notes that sacralizing nature without careful critical reflection can lead to idealized representations of the environment that fail to address the structural and socio-economic forces responsible for ecological degradation. In this sense, a purely metaphysical approach may be insufficient for addressing the practical realities of environmental crisis.

Additionally, critics from social ecological perspectives argue that ecological problems cannot be adequately understood without examining the *political*, *economic*, and *social structures* that drive environmental exploitation. Bookchin (1990) emphasizes that environmental degradation is deeply connected to systems of domination and inequality within human societies. It is important to note that metaphysical interpretations of nature such as those offered by pantheism may risk neglecting the institutional and structural dimensions of ecological problems. These criticisms notwithstanding, pantheism continues to offer valuable insights for contemporary eco-theological reflection.

**Conclusion and Recommendations**

The accelerating ecological crisis of the twenty-first century has underscored the urgent need for deeper philosophical and theological frameworks capable of inspiring responsible engagement with the natural world. This study has argued that the doctrine of pantheism, with its emphasis on the unity of the divine and the cosmos, offers a significant conceptual resource for reimagining the relationship between God, humanity, and nature. By sacralizing the natural world and affirming the interconnectedness of all existence, pantheistic thought provides a compelling metaphysical basis for eco-theological responsibility and challenges anthropocentric attitudes that have contributed to environmental degradation. When integrated with insights from sacred ecology and deep ecology, pantheism encourages a vision of the Earth as a sacred community of life deserving reverence and care. In light of these insights, this study recommends that religious institutions, policymakers, and environmental organizations promote ecological ethics that recognize the intrinsic value of nature. Educational and theological initiatives should incorporate eco-theological perspectives that foster environmental consciousness and sustainable lifestyles. Furthermore, policies that support sustainable resource management, biodiversity conservation, and climate action should be strengthened in alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, particularly SDG 13 (Climate Action), SDG 14 (Life Below Water), and SDG 15 (Life on Land). Such efforts we believe can contribute to cultivating a moral and spiritual commitment to the preservation of the Earth for present and future generations.

**References**

Appleby, R. S. (2000). *The ambivalence of the sacred: Religion, violence, and reconciliation*. Rowman & Littlefield.

Berry, T. (2019). *The dream of the Earth* (Updated ed.). Counterpoint Press.

Boff, L. (1997). *Cry of the Earth, cry of the poor*. Orbis Books.

Bookchin, M. (1990). *The philosophy of social ecology: Essays on dialectical naturalism*. Black Rose Books.

Conradie, E. M. (2020). *The Earth in God's economy: Creation, redemption, and consummation in ecological perspective*. Wipf & Stock.

Deane-Drummond, C. (2019). *A primer in eco-theology: Theology for a fragile Earth*. Cascade Books.

Guha, R. (1989). Radical American environmentalism and wilderness preservation: A third world critique. *Environmental Ethics, 11*(1), 71–83.

Habel, N. C., & Trudinger, P. (2021). *Exploring ecological hermeneutics*. Society of Biblical Literature Press.

Jenkins, W. (2020). *Ecologies of grace: Environmental ethics and Christian theology*. Oxford University Press.

Johnson, E. A. (2018). *Creation and the cross: The mercy of God for a planet in peril*. Orbis Books.

Juergensmeyer, M. (2003). *Terror in the mind of God: The global rise of religious violence* (3rd ed.). University of California Press.

Katz, S. T. (2000). *Mysticism and sacred ecology*. Oxford University Press.

Koko, J.C. (2018). Religion and the ecological problem: from dominionism to protectionism. *AKSU DIACONIA: Journal of Religion and Culture*, Pp. 290-301.

Koko, J.C. and Akinola, **O.M**. (2024). Christians' eco-theological perspective of the ecological crisis in the Niger-Delta and its implications for sustainable development. *IAUE Journal of Universal Research* (IJUR), 3 (2), Pp. 100-111.

McFague, S. (2008). *A new climate for theology: God, the world, and global warming*. Fortress Press.

Moltmann, J. (1993). *God in creation: An ecological doctrine of creation*. Fortress Press.

Naess, A. (1973). The shallow and the deep, long-range ecology movement: A summary. *Inquiry, 16*(1–4), 95–100.

Northcott, M. S. (2018). *A political theology of climate change*. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company.

Plantinga, A. (1974). *God, freedom, and evil*. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company.

Santmire, H. P. (2020). *Nature reborn: The ecological and cosmic promise of Christian theology*. Fortress Press.

Swinburne, R. (1994). *The coherence of theism* (Rev. ed.). Oxford University Press.

Tucker, M. E., & Grim, J. (2019). *Religion and ecology: Developing a planetary ethic*. Columbia University Press.

Watt, W. M. (1985). *Islamic philosophy and theology: An extended survey* (2nd ed.). Edinburgh University Press.

White, L. (1967). The historical roots of our ecological crisis. *Science, 155*(3767), 1203–1207.